| 1  | IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ART 2010 V               |
|----|--|
| 2  | IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAYAFANNE HICKS, Clerk                |
| 3  | CTATE OF ADIZONA   |
| 4  | STATE OF ARIZONA, )  |
| 5  | Plaintiff, )<br>)  |
| 6  | vs. No. CR 2008-1339   |
| 7  | STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,                                       |
| 8  | Defendant. )   |
| 9  | )  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | BEFORE: THE HONORABLE THOMAS B. LINDBERG                       |
| 12 | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 6                         |
| 13 | YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA  |
| 14 | DDECCOTT ADIZONA   |
| 15 | PRESCOTT, ARIZONA<br>TUESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2010<br>A.M. SESSION |
| 16 | A.M. SESSION   |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | DEDODTEDIC DADTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF DEOCERDINGS                   |
| 19 | REPORTER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS                   |
| 20 | Motion for Re-examination of Conditions of Release             |
| 21 |  |
| 22 | LICA A CHANEY DDD CCD CD                                       |
| 23 | LISA A. CHANEY, RPR, CSR, CR<br>Certified Reporter             |
| 24 | Certificate No. 50801  |
| 25 | ORIGINAL   |

| 1  | January 12, 2010   |
|----|--|
| 2  | APPEARANCES:   |
| 3  | FOR THE STATE: MR. JOE BUTNER, DEPUTY.<br>ALSO PRESENT: MS. DEB COWELL, PARALEGAL.<br>FOR THE DEFENDANT: MR. JOHN SEARS, |
| 4  | MR. LARRY HAMMOND, AND MS. ANNE CHAPMAN.   |
| 5  |  |
| 6  | (Other proceedings were held but not   |
| 7  | included as a part of this transcript.)  |
| 8  | *  |
| 9  | *  |
| 10 | *  |
| 11 | MR. SEARS: Thank you, Your Honor. What I'd   |
| 12 | like to talk about first is Number 12 on our list which is   |
| 13 | our Motion for Re-examination of Mr. DeMocker's Conditions   |
| 14 | of Release.  |
| 15 | Your Honor, I recognize that we have this  |
| 16 | is the third time that we have been to you asking for  |
| 17 | modification but this motion is directly related to the  |
| 18 | way in which the last motion was resolved.   |
| 19 | One of the circumstances that we alleged as a  |
| 20 | basis for a re-examination of Mr. DeMocker's release   |
| 21 | conditions had to do with what has become an   |
| 22 | extraordinarily serious problem inside the jail with his   |
| 23 | access to his own case materials.  |
| 24 | Just to review, Your Honor, the written  |
| 25 | discovery from the State is ongoing. We received the 44th  |
|    |  |

supplemental disclosure from the State on Friday.

Mr. DeMocker has had no access to his written discovery, just the basic discovery, since the 22nd supplemental disclosure. So we're at the midpoint. The Bates numbering system now, I believe -- and Miss Galon (phonetic) or somebody else might correct me, but I believe, we are now over 16,500 pages of documents.

In addition, there are more than 17,000 pages.

In addition, there are more than 17,000 pages of documents not Bates labeled. There are more than 200 CDs that contain documents, audio files, and video files that are in addition to the written discovery, and then there are all of the defense initiated defense materials that Mr. DeMocker needs to have and should have access to.

I think at the last hearing I had provided the Court with my own estimation of how much paper that is, that in an typical bankers box -- that the Court I'm sure is familiar with -- you can get -- depending on how tightly you pack them, something between 1500 and 2000 pages of documents.

So if you would extrapolate from these numbers and you say -- just to round it off -- that there are 35,000 pages of documents -- the Court can do the math -- and say that that's probably about 20 bankers box worth of printed documents that has -- that's only the paper discovery, the audio files, the hundreds now of hours of

recorded jail calls in this case, all of the audio files, all the rest of those things are not susceptible of being reduced to print. If they were, then the number of printed documents would grow geometrically. We would be in the hundreds of thousands of pages of documents by that time.

The defense team in this case is geographically diverse and their ability to communicate with Mr. DeMocker in the jail is extraordinarily limited. Even my ability to talk to Mr. DeMocker is limited to either phone calls, which in a maddening way cut themselves off after about -- after less than 15 minutes. You get a series of recorded messages. So when I have to talk to Mr. DeMocker at length, he's constantly hanging up and redialing.

When Mr. DeMocker makes those phone calls, he's in the dorm. He's not in a room with a private phone. He will frequently have to get people to turn the television down. He has absolutely no privacy. He doesn't have any of his documents with him.

The jail has told him that -- and they came and took his documents away -- that he could have whatever fits in one of these plastic tubs that they have, that would be the maximum number of documents that he has. I can't relate a plastic tub to a bankers box, maybe it's

one or two bankers boxes in a tub.

Mr. DeMocker is not permitted to bring anything with him from the jail to Court. He's not permitted to bring any of his notes, any of his documents. When I give him things here, it's a burden on the detention officers who are here just doing their job. Every time I give Mr. DeMocker a hand full of pages, it requires them to review those, to look at them carefully to see what they are.

Mr. DeMocker has been throughout his incarceration completely unable to listen to any audio files. If there is a meeting with Mr. DeMocker in which we ask for a contact room, Mr. DeMocker is strip-searched after that meeting. The last count I had, was many months ago, and it was up over 75 strip-searches of a man who is presumed innocent in this case. It is virtually impossible for the members of our team to meet with Mr. DeMocker in any meaningful way.

In response to that the State made a number of assertions in writing and during the hearing that was conducted on our last release motion about what the jail would do. And, in essence, this was based on some discussions that I had with Mr. Butner that the jail would be willing to allow Mr. DeMocker to have access to a computer which now would have to have some sort of

additional storage device to contain all of what I've described here and the ability to listen to audio files and to look at video files -- he would have a place in the jail to do that, at least eight hours a day.

Now given the delay in doing this, that would have to be every day, that he would have a secured telephone in that place so that when he had access to his materials, he could talk with us in a way that's different than the way he is talking to me now.

Mr. Butner has told the Court that this was a slow process but that he was making progress. I hesitate to say this but, essentially, their response to this motion is, we're doing the best we can and this is a slow process.

I attached to my motion my correspondence to Mr. Butner where I was very specific about what we were talking about. As I stand here today on January 12th, 2010, I do not have a response from Mr. Butner and his written response to the Court offers absolutely no additional specific information.

I believe that Mr. DeMocker's constitutional rights to an effective assistance of counsel and the ability to assist in his own case secured by the Sixth Amendment have been and continue to be violated by this circumstance.

The only way, Your Honor, that we can see that Mr. DeMocker can try to get back up to speed and meaningfully participate in his case is be out of the Yavapai County Jail. We have proposed to the Court very careful and very detailed circumstances that would guarantee his appearance, would answer, we think, completely any question of whether Mr. DeMocker is a flight risk in this case but would allow him over the last four months running up to his trial in this capital case meaningful access to his materials and the ability to assist us.

There is no one that knows this case better than Mr. DeMocker. Mr. DeMocker knows his own finances. He knows every single allegation against him but our ability to work with him and his ability to work with us has been hampered here.

If the State stands up now in response to what I've just said and says, we're working on it, we're doing this, anything other than, yes, we will do this, we will do it tomorrow, you can do this, and we'll enumerate and promise to the Court that all of these things will happen, anything short of that, Your Honor, is just a continuation of the approach that the State has taken, the sheriff's office has taken, is we're working on it, we're looking at it, we're thinking about it, we're doing the

best we can.

We are way too close to trial in this case to wait another day to get this done. This is as an important circumstance to us as to Mr. DeMocker as we can imagine. The thought of Mr. DeMocker going to trial under these circumstances, knowing almost nothing about the State's evidence over the last seven months now against him and being held essentially incommunicado and unable to communicate with his defense team, is a constitutional violation of the highest magnitude, and we are frustrated beyond imagination, Your Honor, at this situation.

And at this point I personally don't care whether it is the county attorney's office or the sheriff's office or some combination of the two of them that is responsible for this delay. This has to be resolved and it has to be resolved now.

We can't see another way for, Your Honor, that has Mr. DeMocker staying in jail, unless Mr. Butner is prepared to assure us today on the record exactly what the State will do and what the jail will do and nothing else. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Butner.

MR. BUTNER: Judge, I'm looking at Mr. Sears' letter of December the 2nd, 2009, and I think the Court probably has already looked at it, and what I had told

Mr. Sears sometime ago was that, I believe, that the jail could provide Mr. DeMocker with a computer and a place in the jail where he could work on his disclosure, examine all of the materials and so forth, and then also a secured telephone line so that he could communicate with counsel and even experts on the same line from time to time.

And, I mean, when I say from time to time, I think that that would be on a regular basis, maybe as often as every day, I'm not sure about that, but I got this letter which talks about a whole bunch of other things; password protection for the computer, headphones to listen, an external storage device, private space within the jail that has a power outlet, Mr. DeMocker be given access to a private and secured telephone line to communicate for at least four hours per day, perhaps even a cell phone could be used, and then he may also have to have access to printed materials and photographs and then, of course, he would have to bring his laptop with him.

I tried to talk with Mr. Sears about this a couple of weeks ago and Mr. Sears and I are no longer talking. I have to communicate with Mr. Sears in writing. The computer is awaiting Mr. --

THE COURT: Before you move on, whose choice is that?

MR. BUTNER: Mr. Sears.

1 THE COURT: Go ahead. 2 MR. BUTNER: The computer is awaiting 3 Mr. DeMocker, so to speak, in the jail. They can take him to a room that has a private plug and he will be alone 4 5 there to deal with his discovery materials. I've been informed that this can be done eight hours per day, 6 7 possibly even longer. Secured telephone, he's not going to get a 8 9 secured telephone line in that specific place. They have normal kinds of places set up for inmates to communicate 10 11 with their attorneys. They can even do it by way of 12 video, and I've told Mr. Sears that a long time ago, and 13 Mr. DeMocker would be able to do that. 14 Mr. DeMocker would also be able to communicate 15 with his attorney or attorneys on a telephone line, and 16 although this is very much out of the ordinary, I was 17 informed by the jail that if he needed to talk with 18 experts, that the attorney could arrange for a conference 19 call to plug in the expert on that line and so they could all talk together, that's available now, and that's the 20 21 State's response. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 Mr. Sears. MR. SEARS: When Mr. Butner tried to speak 24

with me a week ago yesterday about this I asked him to put

25

these matters in writing for just this reason.

Today for the first time, despite my letter of December 2, 2009, to him with these specific requests, this is the very first moment that Mr. Butner has communicated to us and any one on the defense team any of this information. This has never been communicated before.

Mr. Butner has not yet explained what computer we're talking about. Is it a computer that we provide with the materials on it? That's why we had talked about a computer that was password protected. We were not adding additional requirements to be difficult. We were trying to anticipate issues in advance of this discussion.

Headphones make sense because there is a matter of privacy for these calls. The headphones plug into the computer. They are easy to store. We still don't understand from what Mr. Butner has said how these materials will get on this computer unless we provide the computer with materials on them and update them. We're willing to do that.

If Mr. Butner's suggesting that, for example, we gave Mr. DeMocker an array of CDs to play, the jail won't let him have those CDs. There's no place in the jail to keep those. They're not private and they're not secured, and that's why we talk about a simple password

inscription for the computer so that everyone, including Mr. DeMocker, would feel comfortable that these materials are for his eyes and his eyes only.

2.1

The idea that Mr. DeMocker would not have access to a telephone in the place where the computer is is a problem because in a conference with experts or with us or with our staff or investigators it is critical that Mr. DeMocker have access to what we're talking about.

It makes sense in the simplest case, in the simplest case, when you're talking to your client and there is a photograph or document you want to be able to show your client the photograph or the document. Having access to a phone near the computer only makes sense. That's the way it would work. If Mr. DeMocker needed to look at Bates 1485 to see what that was, Mr. DeMocker could do it. Otherwise every time we go to see Mr. DeMocker we have to bring all of the discovery in the case with us. In addition, the discovery in this case is cumulative.

It's not appropriate for Mr. DeMocker to only have access to bits and pieces of it. All of it is interrelated. It's all about the same sort of events. The same source of conduct. So what's in the 44th supplemental disclosure relates to everything that has gone forward with the 43 supplemental disclosures before

that, not to mention the work that we have generated in this case.

I proposed a cell phone because I thought that was something that could be done that would resolve -- perhaps if there's a cell phone signal deep inside the jail there -- the question of where the telephone was and how to get the telephone into the room where Mr. DeMocker and the computer were, that was all that was designed to do.

I talked to, Your Honor, about this video conferencing system and I think that you indicated that you had some familiarity with it. It is anything but secured. It can only be used in 30 minute blocks on a schedule that is coordinated between the jail and the public defender's office. I have used it.

Mr. DeMocker -- the video conferencing system, unless they have changed it in the last year, would require Mr. DeMocker to be taken to a room off of the old courtroom in the jail that is not sound proof, has a window in it.

You might remember, Your Honor, that I said that one of the few times that I tried to use it at the beginning of the case I said something in my normal speaking voice and while I was there I could see the detention officer in the back snap his head around and

look directly at Mr. DeMocker in the room. So I know based on that simple exercise that that is not a secure facility.

Taking Mr. DeMocker to some unspecified place to make phone calls where inmates make phone calls, is what I just told you, is from the phones inside his dorm with 30 some other inmates around ready to use the phone. Mr. DeMocker can't use that phone for four hours at a time and survive inside the jail. Mr. DeMocker doesn't have his materials there. It's not private. It's not secure.

So despite the State's insistence that they have solved this problem, they really haven't. They really haven't. And today I submit is the day that we need to decide whether this is enough or whether something else should be done. And I am dismayed that Mr. Butner's suggesting that somehow this situation is due to some lack of some communication on our part. It's a simple matter. If you have specific information, put it in writing. If you don't want to send it to me, file it with the Court, just tell us what the circumstance is.

In addition -- in addition, Mr. Butner has made promises in this case in writing and in Court that have not yet been kept and to say today that this is ready to go and this could happen is disingenuous, Your Honor. It's not likely.

I think if we took a recess and went over to the Camp Verde Jail and said, where's the computer, where's the phone, where's the room, it wouldn't be there and wouldn't have happened.

I had proposed to Mr. Butner that we meet with the administration of the jail. Mr. Butner told me that that was not necessary, that this could be done. I, frankly, don't believe this is any where close to happening, nor do I believe even if it were ready today that it's any where near sufficient to guarantee Mr. DeMocker his constitutional right to his own case materials and to meaningfully assistance his counsel in his defense in this most serious of cases.

THE COURT: Mr. Butner.

MR. BUTNER: Jail Commander Russell informed me that they have the computer. It is a computer that the county had. It was one of the computers that the county was using. It's a clean computer, so to speak. They have been in possession of that for a little while now, indicated that Mr. DeMocker can be taken to this room in the jail where he could, as I stated earlier, work on his materials for at least eight hours a day and it may be longer than that, Judge.

And I guess I didn't directly address the CDs discussion but that's my understanding that Mr. DeMocker

1 can be provided with these CDs by his defense team and he can review these CDs of the disclosure materials with the 2 3 computer in the jail, that would be whole point of all of 4 that. 5 The telephone, no. They're not going to give him his own telephone in that private cell while he works 6 7 on his disclosure materials. He will have access to the secure telephone system to communicate with his lawyers as 8 9 I've previously described. Thank you. THE COURT: And what are the limitations on 10 11 the time with regard to that? 12 MR. BUTNER: I don't know about the 13 limitations on time, Judge. I really don't. I'd have to 14 inquire further about that. I'm pretty sure he won't be 15 able to be on the phone for four hours at a time discussing things with his defense attorneys. That's, you 16 know, generally not permitted in the jail as I understand 17 18 it. 19 THE COURT: What -- do you know what is, though --20 21 MR. BUTNER: I really don't. 22 THE COURT: -- one or two hours? 23 MR. BUTNER: I really don't know. I have to inquire further about that and I will do that. 24 25 THE COURT: What is the issue, if there is an

1 issue, with regard to a computer and if necessary an 2 external hard drive that Mr. Sears and the defense would 3 provide for Mr. DeMocker's use? 4 MR. BUTNER: I don't think that -- first of 5 all, it's a big exception for the jail to have this kind 6 of situation with a computer in the first place. 7 think that they want any kind of external computers 8 brought into the jail. 9 I think they want to have a computer that they are able to examine, so to speak, and make sure that 10 11 nothing has been broken off of it or could be used in any 12 other fashion other than for the purposes which the 13 computer would be used for. 14 THE COURT: If he's subject to intimate 15 searches coming and going from the particular room, what's 16 the security issue? 17 MR. BUTNER: Judge, I don't really know, and I 18 don't know if he's subject to those kinds of searches 19 coming and going from the room. I think that he will 20 never leave the confines of the jail. They have a special 21 room set up for him to use for this. I think that's 22 basically the situation. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 MR. BUTNER: And also in regard to his -- just 25 to clarify too, in regard to his written materials, it's

| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | my understand that he would be able to have access to     |
| 2  | those written materials along with the computer and the   |
| 3  | CDs if necessary.   |
| 4  | THE COURT: Mr. Sears.                                     |
| 5  | MR. SEARS: Here we are again, the jail has                |
| 6  | said that Mr. DeMocker may have what amounts to a few     |
| 7  | thousand or less pages of his discovery at a time.        |
| 8  | The jail has not made any offer whatsoever                |
| 9  | because I know they won't and I know they can't to        |
| 10 | store his other documents and essentially act as Mr.      |
| 11 | DeMocker's librarian and say I need the following         |
| 12 | documents, nor would they be willing, I assume, to be the |
| 13 | librarians for hundreds of CDs. We simply have            |
| 14 | THE COURT: Whereas if you have digital                    |
| 15 | storage and that sort of thing, you can do one external,  |
| 16 | and do you think you can get everything that you need     |
| 17 | between the computer and the one external                 |
| 18 | MR. SEARS: We   |
| 19 | THE COURT: where they wouldn't be having to               |
| 20 | store voluminous materials?                               |
| 21 | MR. BUTNER: Excuse me                                     |
| 22 | MR. SEARS: That is what we had in mind, Your              |
| 23 | Honor, but we also have to have access to that on a       |
| 24 | regular basis.  |
| 25 | If the State is going to continue to                      |
|    |   |

disclose, as they apparently are, you know, on a weekly or biweekly basis, we'd have to have access to that to load the new materials into this computer but the point of this is that it all works together.

Mr. DeMocker then has -- assuming somehow the jail would change their mind about this, Mr. DeMocker has a state-of-the-art computer with all the storage and his entire case on the computer.

THE COURT: And, therefore, access to audio and video?

MR. SEARS: Audio, video.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SEARS: All of this information -- all of the privileged information that we would want him to have that we have generated in this case, that's step one, and I've not heard any suggestion that the jail is willing to do that, that the State's prepared today to say that the jail will do that.

Number 2, when he has that material, then Mr. DeMocker as he's working through it, has to have regular secure access to us. Mr. DeMocker just told me that the phones that Mr. Butner is talking about, the secure phones, are shared by 40 inmates and there are three phones and they are inside the dorm and no one inmate could conceivably, whether the jail had a rule or

not, monopolize any one of those phones for very long.

I think the longest phone conversation I may have had with Mr. DeMocker might have been 30 or 40 minutes, measured by the number of times -- as we come down they give you a three minute, a two minute, a one minute, this call will be disconnected prompt, and I think our record is to get into the third such call, one right after the other, but even then, when I'm talking to Mr. DeMocker he is having -- I can hear him explaining to other inmates that he'll be off shortly, could you turn the television down, the phones are near the television there, and Mr. DeMocker would have none of his files.

So if I said to Mr. DeMocker over the phone let's take a look at these photographs, he doesn't have them. He wouldn't have them and he couldn't have them. That's the practical problem. That problem is multiplied by the inability of him to confer with experts and investigators who each have a particular area of expertise and would absolutely have to review materials. If they have materials to review, they need to review them with Mr. DeMocker.

You remember Mr. Curry, our financial fraud forensic accountant in this case, he has been given tens of thousands of documents now over the course of his engagement in this case to review and he has not yet been

able to sit with Mr. DeMocker and ask Mr. DeMocker questions. The answers to which, I think, only Mr. DeMocker has, what was this about, what were you thinking here, how did you handle this, what did you do when you got this request, that's absolutely critical work going forward in this case, if those matters remain at issue in this case.

All we have done, Your Honor, in my opinion, today is just move the ball forward a yard or two. We're less than four months from trial. I don't know if Mr. DeMocker's spent 20 hours a day, realistically, that he could actually listen to and look at every single thing in his case, but I do know that in the previous six months he has had zero ability to do that, and what he learns about the case is what I tell him, basically, and what he learns in Court and what I send him in letters, and that's the sum total of what Mr. DeMocker knows about the last half of disclosure in this case and the reason for that is the way in which he is being held at the county jail.

I will tell you personally that I think that not withstanding what Commander Russell and jail administration tell Mr. DeMocker, jail staff at the sergeant and D.O. level will say and do other things and Mr. DeMocker's day-to-day management is under their control and not directly under jail administration's

control.

Whatever concerns you had, Your Honor, that caused you to set bond at the amount it is now and to deny our two previous requests, whether it is Mr. DeMocker's flight risk or any of the other circumstances can be resolved. For example, if you are concerned, Your Honor, about just the idea that Mr. DeMocker being present in the community, given the nature of these charges, we would agree to virtual house arrest if Mr. DeMocker could simply be monitored electronically at one location.

And if you were uncomfortable with that location being here, we would have Mr. DeMocker in Phoenix near his lawyers and the rest of my team in Phoenix, if that was more appropriate for the safety and sensibilities of the community. It really doesn't matter to us.

What does matter to us in the most significant way possible is that Mr. DeMocker, the most significant piece of our resource base is of no use to us at this point under the circumstances. There is very little that we can do other than on an issue by issue basis ask him a question or two at a time in a phone call, that is about the limitation, or go over as I do, essentially every Friday, and spend a couple of hours with Mr. DeMocker trying to review the events of the week.

Even then if I want to sit with Mr. DeMocker

in a room and show him the documents, Mr. DeMocker gets strip-searched at that point. And, you know, he's willing to do that and has submitted to that, as I said probably now, probably 90 times, but I stopped doing that. I didn't want Mr. DeMocker to have to go through that indignity just to be able to sit in a room with his lawyer in this case.

Mr. DeMocker is subject to bond. Bond as we have pointed out is for the sole purpose of assuring his appearance at Court. As we have said before and will say again today it is inconceivable that Mr. DeMocker would run from this evidence and this case with what's at stake and what his running would do to his family, to his parents, to his children, to all of the people that love and care about him in this case, but having Mr. DeMocker out would make it possible at last for Mr. DeMocker to become part of his own defense. Thank you.

MR. BUTNER: Judge, to clarify, it's my understanding that the CDs would be kept there with the computer by the jail personnel and then be provided to Mr. DeMocker. Maybe I did not make that clear. So I think as Mr. Sears characterized it, I guess, they would sort of be his librarians in that regard.

Judge, it sounds to me like that, you know, this really is, again, another motion by the defense to

get Mr. DeMocker out of jail and we're revisiting that and I don't think we should be doing that. As I stated the computer awaits and I would hope that we can resolve this.

THE COURT: I'm going to enter an order denying the request for modification of release but I will enter an order, Mr. Sears, with regard to affirming the Defendant's ability to assist in his own defense.

I'm going to require the -- and if the State can't do that, it violates the Defendant's Sixth Amendment right to counsel and participate, then I'll have to reconsider this, but I will order the Yavapai County Jail, the Yavapai County Sheriff to provide the Defendant with a secure room. The computer to be provided by the defense team with external hard drive and plug. The secure room has to have a power plug so that he can plug in and won't be dependent on battery power.

I'm putting no restrictions on the ability of the county sheriff's office to provide for the security of the facility in terms of they do whatever wanding or searching that they may normally and routinely do to assure the safety of this inmate and any other inmates in the Yavapai County Jail.

So I'm not restricting in any way their ability to do that but I think that the computer simply makes too much sense. A computer provided by the defense

that's preloaded with the information that is already part of the record and disclosure with the Bates stamping and video clips and audio clips and photography.

Frankly, there shouldn't be that much more in the way of discovery that comes out. We're suppose to be progressing to the presentation of the case and I viewed this week as tuning that up so that we can all be ready to go by the time trial starts in May which has been pointed out is less than four months away.

So external hard drive is authorized and whatever wires that may be necessary to do that, and I'll authorize the Defendant to have up to eight hours a day -- or excuse me -- not up to, at least eight hours a day in this secure room for purposes of his review of these materials.

I guess I would like to know why he can't have a secured phone line in the room. So I'll order that if such a phone line be evaluated as to why we can't have it in this particular room or whether it's not wired for that, and what proposals you all may have for an alternative to that so that the access in particular to the financial expert and the defense attorneys with the Defendant, but the headset is necessary seems to me also to maintain privileged materials from being overheard and this, in essence, is no different than attorney-client

correspondence. It's just in a digital form.

Would I enter such an order in a common case? I probably wouldn't, but we're dealing with a significant case that's getting right up toward the trial time and I want the defense team to be able to be prepared to protect effective assistance of counsel, rights that the Defendant has.

Mr. Sears to a county computer. I don't see any real claim for hazard to the security of the jail with regard to that. If the jail can search him to make a determination that he's not taking anything back to the dorm that shouldn't be taken back to the dorm. They can do what they want as far as security. If they don't want him to have the computer in the dorm for obvious reasons, they don't have to do that but he needs to have access for a significant time of each day, seven days a week, so that he can review the materials that are necessary to review to be prepared for the trial, and this may be password protected, Mr. Sears.

If you want to file a proposed formal order that's more specific that you can have served on the sheriff or Commander Russell, that's fine. I expect that with this, probably in lieu of printed materials, a computer would take up less space than the printed

1 materials would, even with the additional devices that are 2 required, and it wouldn't be necessary to have anybody acting has a librarian or accessing separate CDs and the 3 It can all be put on the external hard drive. 4 5 MR. SEARS: I think that's true, Your Honor. I had a couple of clarifying questions here. 6 7 THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. SEARS: Would you please set a date for an 8 9 answer from the sheriff's office about the secure phones 10 so this matter, that part of it, doesn't drag on 11 indefinitely. 12 THE COURT: Friday. 13 MR. SEARS: Thank you. And your reference to 14 the password protection answered one of my other concerns 15 about having access to this. As a practical matter I 16 assume that the jail would not allow Mr. DeMocker to keep 17 this in his cell? THE COURT: I assume. 18 19 MR. SEARS: So there has to be someplace that 20 it's kept but if it's password protected, then I'm not 21 concerned. Although an external hard drive could be 22 unplugged and looked at. I don't know enough about 23 inscription to know if we can inscript what's on the hard 24 drive but I think we probably can.

It's just -- there's going to be, in addition

25

to public record stuff in here, a considerable amount of attorney-client privilege material that he should have in a privileged way. I appreciate the Court's willingness to look at the password protection and we will have to find a way to do that.

We will also need access -- I will put this in the order -- we will need access periodically to update the computer with information as it comes in.

THE COURT: I don't have any issue with regard to that. I think you need access.

MR. SEARS: You're just going to have let us or whomever I bring with me that knows more than I do about this to -- which would be virtually anybody -- to update this information. We will do this as quickly as we possibly can.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else on that that needs additional clarification?

MR. SEARS: Your Honor, I'm sorry, one more clarifying -- given the press for time we would ask that since every day in the jail is a working day that he be allowed access seven days a week. I can't think of a reason for him to have days off.

THE COURT: Yeah. And I think we can start this as soon as I sign the order and you have it served on the jail commander or Sheriff Waugh whichever you may

| 1  | choose. I think either one is appropriate. And             |
|----|--|
| 2  | MR. SEARS: Thank you.                                      |
| 3  | THE COURT: And copy obviously actually,                    |
| 4  | what I would ask you to do is have Mr. Butner review it as |
| 5  | to form before I even sign it so that any additional       |
| 6  | clarifying language can be addressed.                      |
| 7  | MR. SEARS: Thank you.                                      |
| 8  | THE COURT: Which will be no later than Friday              |
| 9  | morning.   |
| 10 | MR. SEARS: Yes, sir.                                       |
| 11 | THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a break for the                |
| 12 | staff and you all. About 10 minutes. We'll resume at       |
| 13 | quarter to 11.   |
| 14 | (Whereupon, the noon break was taken.)                     |
| 15 | (Other proceedings were held but not                       |
| 16 | included as a part of this transcript.)                    |
| 17 | *  |
| 18 | *  |
| 19 | *  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
|    |  |

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Lisa A. Chaney, a Certified Reporter, in the State of Arizona, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 1 through 29 constitute a full, true, and accurate partial transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter, all done to the best of my skill and ability. WITNESS my hand this 16th day of January, 2010. RPR, CSR. Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50801

get Mr. DeMocker out of jail and we're revisiting that and I don't think we should be doing that. As I stated the computer awaits and I would hope that we can resolve this.

THE COURT: I'm going to enter an order denying the request for modification of release but I will enter an order, Mr. Sears, with regard to affirming the Defendant's ability to assist in his Own, JefMensOe.))YVWo

I'm going to require the -- a dant/Whithe State can't do that, it violates the Defendant's Sixth Amendment right to counsel and participate, then I'll have to reconsider this, but I will order the Yavapai County Jail, the Yavapai County Sheriff to provide the Defendant with a secure room. The computer to be provided by the defense team with external hard drive and plug. The secure room has to have a power plug so that he can plug in and won't be dependent on battery power.

I'm putting no restrictions on the ability of the county sheriff's office to provide for the security of the facility in terms of they do whatever wanding or searching that they may normally and routinely do to assure the safety of this inmate and any other inmates in the Yavapai County Jail.

So I'm not restricting in any way their ability to do that but I think that the computer simply makes too much sense. A computer provided by the defense